Internship (SPIA 4964)

SPIA 4964, is a 6-credit course comprising of the Internship. Students work Monday-Thursday in their assigned location. A contract detailing the student's work responsibilities is prepared and signed by the employer and student. The Internship Coordinator keeps the contract on file and consults the contract if their are any questions about the work a student has been assigned or about student performance.

Grading is based on mid-term and final employer evaluations. Thus it is imperative that students understand their work requirements and expectations BEFORE signing the contract and perform requirements accordingly. Attendance, attitude and performance will be calculated in your grade. Performance that leads to an "A" includes positive employer evaluations, completed and timely journal entries, attendance and participation in professional development sessions and final project.

UAP/PSCI 4624, Seminar is Politics and Public Policy, "Field Study"

The Field Study is intended to expand the experiential learning beyond the internship. Specifically, the Field Study seeks to expose students to policy making at the executive, legislative and judicial levels by experiencing the environments in which policy is discussed, developed and implemented, demonstrating the intersection of policy, governance and collaboration. Students are encouraged to consider their internship work environment when visiting the field sites and ask questions that help broaden their understanding and grasp of policy making in organizations and policy impacts on communities, states and the nation.

Sites are chosen based on their topical influence in policy, depth of expertise in a policy area, relevance of policy area and whether the work supports the selected case study.

Learning Objectives

After completing this course, students will be able to:

- 1. Recognize and discuss the difference in policy-making processes at the executive, legislative and judicial levels.
- 2. Compare and contrast the type of governance structures at work in focal organizations.
- 3. Explain why and how the presence and absence of collaboration in decision-making makes a difference to policies and programs affecting communities.

Course Reading

Dixon J., Sanderson A., Tripathi S. (2007) Governance and the Public Interest: The Challenges for Public Sector Leaders. In: Koch R., Dixon J. (eds) Public Governance and Leadership. DUV.

Haus, M. & Klausen, J.E. (2011) Urban Leadership and Community Involvement: Ingredients for Good Governance? *Urban Affairs Review 47(2) 256 – 279.*

Rose-Ackerman, S, (2016) 30th Anniversary Essay. What Does "Governance" Mean? *Governance: An International Journal of Policy, Administration, and Institutions, 00(00) 1-5.*

Site Visits

Capitol Hill, Congressman Don Beyer, Virginia 8th District Department of State United States District Court Eastern District of Virginia Others TBD based on case study

Journal

Students will keep a regular **Journal** reflecting on field study, professional development and internship experiences. Formats are flexible but each week journals should include at least two questions that students raise that summarizes observations regarding the effect of democracy and/or governance on policy making. As a broad benchmark, the goal is to write about 250 words a week. **Journal entries are due by 11 pm every Sunday.**

Grading:

Memos, 50% (approximately 25% each)

- 1. Memo #1 (500-750 words)
- 2. Memo #2 (500-750 words)

Written work will be evaluated using four equally weighted criteria:

- Assignment Does it respond to the question or assignment?
- Analysis Does it present a clear thesis or narrative? Are its conclusions supported?
- Grounding Does it make effective use of course materials to establish firm theoretical and conceptual grounding?
- Readability Is it concise and well-organized? Is the language clear? Is it free of egregious typos, grammatical errors, and other distractions?

Final Project, 30%. Requirements:

Paper

• Papers must be between **3-5 pages, double-spaced** and proofed carefully. Use descriptive words and clear language, not jargon, to describe your experiences. Write to pull your reader into your story. Follow the criteria for all written work and use section headers as appropriate.

Presentations

• Presentations are a summary of the paper and should be **7-10 minutes in length**. PRACTICE! Audio and/or video tape yourself, paying attention to body movements, hand gestures and fillers such as "um" and "like". Presentations may be in PowerPoint or Prezi.

Journal, 20%

• Weekly entry of at least 250 words.

Policy Seminar (UAP 4644/PSCI 4644), Policy and Administration in a Democracy

Seminar participants will reflect on how the presentation of policy evidence shapes how policymakers and members of the public respond to problems of everyday governance. The Seminar seeks to provide fellows the skills to recognize governance structures in organizations and how to use policy evidence to insert themselves into discussions that affect them. Coursework is reading and writing intensive. The seminar meetings and assignments are organized in four sections:

- → Section 1 Constructing Evidence in Policy Debate explores the process of creating, communicating, and consuming quantitative and visual evidence in policy debate.
- → Section 2 Organizing National Security Policy explores the development of the National Security Council (NSC) and the process of NSC policy-making.
- → Section 3 Urban Governance explores debate over a specific policy issue as a case study of governance, collaboration and response to critical policy matters.
- → Section 4 Final Policy Briefings focuses on the development and delivery of a short briefing examining a policy problem, project, or proposal.

Course Reading

Miller, Jane E. *The Chicago guide to writing about numbers*. University of Chicago Press, 2008. Other readings as assigned.

Requirements

Evaluation of student coursework will be based on eight course elements – each constituting 25 percent of the final course grade:

- 1. Memo #1 (500-750 words)
- 2. Memo #2 (500-750 words)

Written work will be evaluated using four equally weighted criteria:

- → Assignment Does it respond to the question or assignment?
- → Analysis Does it present a clear thesis or narrative? Are its conclusions supported?
- → Grounding Does it make effective use of course materials to establish firm theoretical and conceptual grounding?
- → Readability Is it concise and well-organized? Is the language clear? Is it free of egregious typos, grammatical errors, and other distractions?

3. <u>2 Policy Briefings</u>

Policy Briefings will be evaluated using four equally-weighted criteria:

- → Assignment Does the presentation respond to the assignment?
- → Analysis Are elements of the presentation organized around a coherent thesis or narrative? Are problems and concepts clearly defined? Is the presentation informative? Is it interesting?
- → Grounding Does the presentation make effective use of available policy evidence? Is policy evidence accurately described, clearly cited, and critically analyzed?
- → Presentation Do oral and visual aspects of the presentation contribute to its clarity and effectiveness. Is the presentation free of common stylistic distractions?